Limits to Performance For Imaging Cherenkov Detectors at a Super B Factory.

> Blair Ratcliff 1/20/04

-General Considerations -Some physics examples -Defining Performance Metrics -Thinking about performance:Simple Models -Summary

• PID detector requirements may be sensitive to

- 1. Backgrounds....both pattern recognition and robustness.
- 2. Machine Asymmetry (The larger the boost, the harder to do PID.)
- **3.** Good tracking. Existence of reasonable $1/\beta^2 dE/dx$.
- 4. Physics Needs:
- **B** Physics Channels?
- Run on 5s?
- Charm or τ physics?

• For now, assume that

- 1. Backgrounds can be handled. (Detectors and radiators proposed are likely to be sufficiently radiation hard. Pattern recognition must be carefully simulated.)
- 2. Boost ~same as Belle (or less than BaBar)
- 3. dE/dx and tracking ~ like now .
- 4. So what about the physics needs?

How well do present PID systems work (a few BaBar examples)?



•From inclusive qqbar studies. Calibrated with control samples.

•Performance reasonably well understood. Limited in many cases by physics processes (scattering, decays, interactions, delta rays, etc.)

- •ID efficiency limited by resolution at higher momenta for K-pi.
- •~0.1% Mis-id at low moment...1-2% in Cherenkov region.

Example II- B to ργ



•B to $\rho\gamma$ topology identical to K^{*} γ , which is expected to have ~20x the BF. Need to reject Kaons by positive pion ID.

• Optimized cuts give $\sim 1/2-1$ percent K mis-id for most of the events.

• Mis-Id not the dominant source background for the BF limit on $\rho\gamma$ of 1.6 x 10⁻⁶.

→ Unless BF of "signal/background" ratio is very small, the present Mis-Id is probably sufficient... Would be useful to have some specific channels that require better Mis-Id performance.

Defining the PID Performance Metric Conceptual Issues

•Often characterized as " N_{σ} "

 $\pi - K$



•In a simple model, the total resolution on Cherenkov angle scales as:

$$\sigma \left[\theta_{c}(tot) \right] = \frac{\sigma \left[\theta_{c} \right]}{\sqrt{N_{pe}}} \oplus \left[\theta(tracking) \right] \oplus \left[\theta(cor) \right] \quad \text{where}$$

$$\sigma[\theta_{c}] = \sqrt{\sigma[\theta_{Production}]^{2} + \sigma[\theta_{Transport}]^{2} + \sigma[\theta_{Imaging}]^{2} + \sigma[\theta_{Detection}]^{2}}$$

In practice, the correlated and tracking pieces are ~ 1.5 mrad in BaBar.

Defining the PID Performance Metric N_{σ}

•Improving N_{σ} is often taken as improving PID performance and/or momentum range. However, once an adequate separation is attained, it is not clear how much this really improves physics.

• Cherenkov Central tracking is a large component of the overall separationto do much better than now need<< 1 mrad, including alignment and multiple scattering components

•Improving $\sigma(\theta_c)$ for each Cherenkov photon tends to cost pixels (and \$ or Y)

MIS-ID

• Not Gaussian...

- Physics effects produce separation tails (decays, scattering, delta rays, interactions)
- May be able to "improve" with post DIRC tracking...Needs more study

The BaBar Detector

Silicon Vertex Tracker



muon, neutral hadron ID

Thinking about Performance Metrics- Very Simplified Model I



Separation parameter

Both Particles



2

Equal Particle Populations



Model I- Performance

1.00E-08 1.00E-09

1.00E-10

1.00E-11

1.00E-12

1.00E-13

1.00E-14

0

0.1

0.3

0.2

0.5

0.4

Efficiency for Wanted Particle

0.6

0.7



1 Sigma separation

2 sigma separation

3 sigma separation

4 sigma separation

6 sigma separation

0.9

1

5 sigma separation

0.8

Model III

98% of each particle type has a measured Gaussian at the correct central value with width 1

+

2% of each particle type has a measured Gaussian at the correct central value but with a width of 10.









Equal populations for type 1 and type 2 particles

Model III-Performance



1.00E+00 1.00E-01 1.00E-02 1.00E-03 1.00E-04 1.00E-05 1.00E-06 1.00E-07 1.00E-08 1.00E-09 1 Sigma separation 1.00E-10 2 sigma separation 1.00E-11 3 sigma separation 4 sigma separation 1.00E-12 5 sigma separation 1.00E-13 6 sigma separation 1.00E-14 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.8 0.9 0.6 0.7

Model III Mis-Id Rate (Equal Particle Populations)

Efficiency for Wanted Particle

1

Fractional Mis-Id Rati

Efficiency for Unwanted Particl

Summary

•Although there will be many challenges in handling the high luminosity at a Super-B Factory, it is not clear that improving physics performance (wrt to BaBar) is especially helpful.would be very useful to have clearer physics examples.

• Distinguish between better (N_{σ}) separation (giving a larger momentum range), and better Mis-id performance.

•Reducing Mis-id could likely benefit from post-PID tracking. Should understand this better.

→ There are some useful areas for careful simulation studies.