Degradation of Calorimeter Performance at High Luminosities

Martin Kocian

SLAC

Honolulu, 21 January 2004



High background conditions affect calorimeter performance in several ways:

- 1. Radiation damage to the crystals
  - Degradation of crystal lightyield
  - Changes in crystal uniformity
- 2. High occupancy
  - Additional clusters
  - Additional energy

The present situation in the Babar calorimeter:

- Radiation dose measured by radfets in front of the calorimeter
- Light yield measured by radioactive source calibration system
- Dose now approaches linear increase with luminosity



#### **Dose Accumulation**



Present dose rate is about 0.01 rad/h (from leakage currents)  $\Rightarrow$  At 10<sup>36</sup> the dose should be about 1 rad/h

## **Crystal Irradiation Experiment**

Crystal irradiation experiment by Tetiana Hryn'ova et al.

- $\bullet\,$  Irradiated 16 CsI(Tl) crystals at 1-2 rad/h with  $^{60}\mathrm{Co}$
- Integrated dose of 10,000 rad
- Measured total light yield and uniformity
- Plot on the right shows lightlyield vs dose for 3 crystals
- The red line indicates the original Babar specification
- The irradiation test is in good agreement with Babar data



Integrated Dosage (rad)

#### Typical Crystal Scanner crystals



Left side: Absolute uniformity Right side: Change with respect to initial uniformity

#### **Dose Dependence of Uniformity**



### MC Uniformity Study



- Generate single γs of 0.1,
  0.5, 1 & 5 GeV going into lcosθl<0.2</li>
- Weight energy in 8 sections over the length of the crystal

• 
$$\Delta_{tot}(Dose) = \Delta_0 + \Delta_{rad}(Dose)$$

=> At 10 krad the effect of the non-uniformity is negligible

## Digi Occupancy

- Raw digis: E > 750 keV (filtered), within 2  $\mu$ s timing window
- **!!** New: Peak not at edge of time window
- This reduces the number of raw digis by a factor of 2
- Default digis: E>1 MeV, 120 ns timing cut, sparsification
- Data from ca. 350 runs from winter 2003



## Digi Occupancy II



Left:  $N_{digi} > 2000$ , Right: Normal backgrounds (N. Barlow)

- Have backgrounds improved over time?
- Compare early 2001 (400 runs) with late 2003 (350 runs)



- Significant improvement for 2 reasons
  - 1. Better machine performance
  - 2. Improved background rejection in Emc code

#### Angular Distribution



- Cyclic triggers (backgrounds only)
- Clear structure in  $\theta$
- Slightly increased backgrounds on the inside of the ring

### Angular Distribution

- Spring 2001 / Winter 2003 at peak luminosity
- 2001: LER = 1570 mA HER = 860 mA lumi =  $3.1 \cdot 10^{33}$
- 2003: LER = 1920 mA HER = 1250 mA lumi =  $6.9 \cdot 10^{33}$



• Higher backgrounds in far forward/backward direction than before

# $\pi^0$ Resolution

• How sensitive is the  $\pi^0$ -resolution to increased backgrounds?



- Look at 350 runs from late 2003
- $\Rightarrow\,$  So far no deterioration of the  $\pi^0\mbox{-width}$  is observed

- An experiment was performed to understand radiation damage to CsI(Tl) crystals
- Uniformity degradation does not constitute a major problem at 10 krad
- Background occupancy in the Babar calorimeter is improved
- $\pi^0$  resolution shows no degradation so far

Related topics:

- Work is being done on the TURTLE simulation to understand machine backgrounds
- A new round of runs taken with one beam only and with beams out of collision would be useful to understand how these factors contribute to the total background (last time this was done in February 2002).