Performance and Aging of the BaBar Drift Chamber



Michael H. Kelsey

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center



Super *B* Factory Workshop in Hawaii 19–22 January 2004



- Drift Chamber Design and Operation
- Tracking, dE/dx, and Physics
- Aging, Damage and Remediation
- Future Operations, Performance
- Summary and Outlook

BaBar Detector



BaBar Drift Chamber

Main tracking detector in BaBar



Surrounds beam pipe, final focus magnets, and silicon vertex tracker

DCH High Lumi 🗕

BaBar Drift Chamber

Small hex cells (1 \sim 2 cm)

HEX2 - Wire 168 Isocrones every 50 ns HEX2 - Wire 168 Isocrones every 50 ns HEX2 - Wire 185 Is

10 superlayers of 4 layers each Axial and stereo (\sim 4°)

96-256 cells/superlayer

Sense wires 20 μ m W-Rh (Au) Field wires 120 μ m Al (Au) Guard wires 80 μ m Al (Au)



Gas mixture 80% helium, 20% isobutane, 3500–4000 ppm water vapor, \sim 80 ppm O_2

Designed to operate at 1960V

Initially operated without water vapor

Discharges observed in small region of chamber

Reduced to 1900V (October 1999–July 2000)

Since January 2001 (85% of total data) at 1930V

Premature Aging

May 1999: 80:20, $O_2 < 10$ ppm, $H_2O \lesssim 100$ ppm 28 July 1999: Large spikes in HV current



October 1999: Turned off affected region, added water \implies No discharges observed in chamber since

Accumulated Charge

Measure aging vs. accumulated charge per unit wire length



Total charge 12.2 mC/cm in nearly five years

Hit resolution $\langle \sigma(\text{resid}) \rangle \sim 125 \ \mu\text{m}$ Target: 140 μm in middle region of cell

Momentum $\sigma(p_T)/p_T = 0.45\% + 0.15\% p_T$ (GeV/c) Target: 0.21% + 0.14% p_T

Tracking > 95% matching with SVT tracks

dE/dx resolution ~ 7.5%, \pm (0.5-0.7)% bias Early test results: 7.0%

dE/dx, Particle ID



Good π/K separation up to ~ 700 MeV/c.

Provides confirmation of DIRC, and coverage outside of DIRC acceptance.

Physics Performance

Reconstruction of $K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ at large radii Support DCH MeV/c^{2} Fits in DCH comparable to • RMS DCH+SVT hwhm 10 Decay Radius $24 \rightarrow 25$ N[°]. Entries = 4932 Sig. Events = 4460.50 ean = -0.156774^{0.0458304} 8 Events/(0.5 MeV/c 350 Me) n = -0.156774^{0.0458304} RMS = 5.08925 MeV γ^2 /Ndof = 197.51/192 300 hwhm = 2.38295 MeV frac1 = 0.474382 6 sigma1 = 3.8942 MeV 250 frac2 = 0.391389 sigma2 = 1.5711 MeV frac3 = 0.13423000000 sigma3 = 11.4963 MeV 200 frac4 = 00 sigma4 = 0.5 Me\ 150 100 2 50 0 0 -Ŏ.O5 20Ó 0,05 $\frac{20}{\text{Decay Radius}} \frac{30}{\sqrt{(X_{\text{Vtx}}^2 + Y_{\text{Vtx}}^2)}} \frac{40}{\sqrt{(X_{\text{Vtx}}^2 + Y_{\text{Vtx}}^2)}}$ 10 Ó 50 $M(\pi^{+} \pi^{-})-M(K_{s}^{o}) \text{ GeV/c}^{2}$

"Jumps" due to material scattering uncertainty and fewer hits per track fit

SuperB — 17 —

Physics Performance (II)

$B \to D^{(*)}D^{(*)}, D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0, D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$ (Monte Carlo generated events)

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Momentum } \sigma(p)/p & 4.7 \times 10^{-3} \\ \\ D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \ \sigma(\text{mass}) & 6.5 \pm 0.2 & \text{MeV}/c^2 \\ \\ D^* \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0 \ \sigma(\text{mass}) & 0.80 \pm 0.03 & \text{MeV}/c^2 \end{array}$

Gain vs. Time

$$G(Q) = \{G_0 + \Delta G_1|_{Q > Q_1} + \Delta G_2|_{Q > Q_2} + \dots\} \exp(-AQ)$$



Long-term Damage

Besides aging, "sudden damage" always possible

- Transient discharges, voltage trips
- Buildup of deposits on wires
- Self-sustaining discharge (Malter effect)

Long-term studies of aging and remediation

Lu Changguo (Princeton) *Pisa Frontier Detectors Meeting* (2003) Adam Boyarski (SLAC) *DESY Aging Workshop* (2001) *IEEE NSS/MIC* (2003) Other groups (Colorado, Montreal, Novosibirsk, ...)

Accumulated several times BaBar lifetime charge

Running chamber without water vapor allows polymer (dielectric) buildup, increases likelihood of discharges

Presumed mechanism for damage seen in July 1999

Princeton test chamber run dry up to 130 mC/cm, saw discharges, high singles rate, 10% drop in gain Adding 1500–4000 ppm H_2O eliminated discharges Gain stabilized at 0.9 of initial value, up to 300 mC/cm

Poor performance returned when water removed

Remediating Damage

Excess current can trigger self-sustaining discharges Maximum safe current significantly reduced over time



Adam Boyarski, SLAC

"Training" with oxygen (500 ppm) gradually raises current limit to original construction. Maintained after O_2 removed. Further study underway to confirm long-term performance

Future Performance

Can we operate at ten times design luminosity?



DCH performance computed from beam currents and luminosity

By 2008, average occupancy, current, trigger rates will be tripled from current (2003) conditions.

Tracking at High Luminosity

1

Mix Monte Carlo $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ with real random-trigger data Multiple triggers overlaid to match luminosity extrapolations

Evaluate physics quality relative to current performance

Compared to design $3 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	$2 imes 10^{34}$ (3 $ imes$ bkg)	$4 imes 10^{34}$ (5× bkg)	$4 imes 10^{34}$ (10× bkg)
Tracking eff. (%) Momentum $\sigma(n)/n = 4.7 \times 10^{-3}$	$98.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.7$ $+4.2 \times 10^{-5}$	$97.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 1.0$ $+5.5 \times 10^{-5}$	
$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ (%)	96.0 ± 0.5	95.5 ± 0.5	80 ± 3
$D^{ m o}$ Mass, σ $6.5\pm0.2~{ m MeV}/c^2$	6.5 ± 0.2	6.4 ± 0.2	7.0 ± 0.3
$D^* ightarrow D^0 \pi$ (%)	84.4 ± 1.1	75.0 ± 1.3	25 ± 2
D^* Mass, σ $0.80{\pm}0.03~{ m MeV}/c^2$	0.97 ± 0.04	1.50 ± 0.08	3.2 ± 0.8

High Rate Data Acquisition

Modular, parallel electronics

4-buffer pipeline per channel

16 elements per quadrant via 1 GHz fiber to processor



Readout time set by single element's occupancy

$$T_{DAQ} = 8\left\{8 + \sum^{N} (32m_i + 4)\right\} \times 16.7 + 33 \text{ ns}$$

N non-empty chips, $m_i = 1-8$ hits each

 \implies 45 hits requires 200 μ s (5 kHz)

High Rate Data Acquisition

Readout time scales with HV current, luminosity (uniform occupancy)



C. Jessop

Deadtime when readout \sim trigger rate

Expect 30-40% d.t. by 2006, 50+% by 2007

Redesign front-end with extreme parallelism

- Three chips per logical "element"
- \leq 24 channel serial readout
- < 105–140 $\mu \rm s$ readout time, 7 kHz rate

Essentially no deadtime for normal running, through lifetime of Babar.

Requires 20 fiber readout processors Targeting installation in mid-2005

SuperB — 39 –

Effect of Aging on Gain

Integrate current to estimate accumulated charge Include expected running year by year Linear lumi improvement within years



Use fitted aging function to extrapolate gain Assume 1930V operation, no gas changes **Compensating for Aging**

By 2007, gain at 1930V could be \gtrsim 25% below current performance

Significant impact on trigger, track finding

Non-uniform acceptance, efficiency

Compensate for gain loss by increasing voltage

Lower gain, same HV current as now



BaBar Drift Chamber has been operating smoothly since October 1999

Tracking performance up to design dE/dx performance good, improvable Excellent operational efficiency

Reasonable aging rate observed so far Accumulated 12.2 mC/cm after five years Gain fits well for simple aging 0.55%/(mC/cm) Overall reduction 15% since startup

Comparable to other large experiments

Expect reliable performance over 10-year lifetime 50−80 mC/cm total charge, 25% loss of gain ⇒ Well below limits found in test chambers Minimal degradation of physics performance

Increasing data rate will require significant electronics upgrade

Damage and aging may be remediated with additives

3500 ppm H_2O should prevent discharges Aging may be compensated by adjusting voltage

Supplemental Information

SuperB — 44 —

DCH High Lumi 🗕



SuperB — 3 –

The PEP-II B Factory

Asymmetric e^+e^- collider: $E(e^+) = 3.1 \text{ GeV}, E(e^-) = 9 \text{ GeV}$ $\Rightarrow 10.58 \text{ GeV CMS}: \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^+B^-, B^0\overline{B}^0$

B decay length increased from \sim 30 μm (CMS) to \sim 250 μm (lab), allowing precision time-dependent measurements

2004/01/20 09.19



DCH High Lumi 🗕

BaBar Drift Chamber



Dimensions in mm

- 24 cm inner radius
- 81 cm outer radius
- 2.8 m length
- IP 37 cm behind center All electronics on rear

- Be & Al inner cylinder
- Carbon fiber/Nomex outer
- 2.5(1.2) cm Al end plates

Track Fitting

Residuals of hits vs. distance from sense wire



$\langle \sigma({\sf resid}) angle \sim$ 125 $\mu{\sf m}$

Design target: 140 μ m in middle region of cell d(t): pair of 7th order Chebyshev functions, each side of cell. Adjusted for angle through cell.

Track Fitting

Momentum resolution from cosmic rays



 $\sigma(p_T)/p_T = 0.45\% + 0.15\% p_T \text{ (GeV/c)}$ Design target: 0.21% + 0.14% p_T

Tracking Efficiency



"Pseudo-efficiency"

Count DCH+SVT tracks vs. total SVT tracks

Measure acceptance in

momentum or p_T

polar angle

azimuth (not shown)

DCH and SVT not independent

Physics Performance (III)

$D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$ reconstruction efficiency vs. ϕ ask David Williams for plot

Normalize gain to absorb environmental effects

Pressure and temperature \Rightarrow density Detailed gas mixture (He:*i*-C₄H₁₀, H₂O, O₂) Precise operating voltage

Scale dE/dx from Bhabha-scattering events $[e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-(\gamma)]$; normalization done ~ hourly

Normalizing Gain

Most significant correlation with gas density

DCH Gain vs. Density (1930V, Aug-Dec 2001)



Applying offset could obscure aging effects

Gain vs. Time

Continuous decrease $G = G_0 \exp(-AQ)$ due to aging

DCH Gain Since Startup (May 1999 - Present)



Steps due to operating changes (e.g., voltage)

Gain vs. Time Fit Details

$$G(Q) = \{G_0 + \Delta G_1|_{Q > Q_1} + \Delta G_2|_{Q > Q_2} + \ldots\} \exp(-AQ)$$

 χ^2 /dof = 668.33 / 214 $-A(\%) = -0.549 \pm 0.023$ $G_0 = 0.975 \pm 0.009$ $\Delta G_1 = -0.429 \pm 0.009$ ($Q_1 = 0.3$) $\Delta G_2 = 0.432 \pm 0.001 \quad (Q_2 = 1.2)$ $\Delta G_3 = -0.276 \pm 0.001$ ($Q_3 = 2.8$) $\Delta G_4 = 0.053 \pm 0.001 \quad (Q_4 = 4.4)$ $\Delta G_5 = -0.035 \pm 0.001$ ($Q_5 = 8.7$)

Systematic Checks





Michael H. Kelsey

Comparative Aging

Aging measurements from other experiments

		[mC/cm]	[%/(mC/cm)]
Experiment	Gas Mix	Charge	$\Delta G/G$ Aging
CDF	Ar:Eth:Alc	130	$<1\sim20$
(Run 2)	50:50:1		
ZEUS	$Ar:Eth:CO_2$	100	$\lesssim 0.1$
	83:5:12		
H1	$Ar:Eth:H_2O$	< 10	$\gtrsim 1$
	50:50:0.1		
HERA-B	$Ar:CF_4:CO_2$	2300	\sim none
(test)	65:30:5		
BaBar	$He:i-C_4H_{10}:H_2O$	12.2	0.6
	80:20:0.4		

From 2001 DESY Workshop presentations

Suppressing Damage



Lu Changguo, Princeton

Suppressing Damage



Lu Changguo, Princeton

SuperB — 30 –

Remediating Damage

Additives (alcohol, water, oxygen) can suppress discharges, allow running at higher currents

		Before	With Additive		After	
Additive	(%)	I_{max}	Time (hr)	I_{max}	I_{max}	Cured?
Methylal	4	0.3	≈ 0	>8		No
	2			3	0.4	No
2-Propanol	1.0	≈ 0.5	≈ 0	>12		No
	0.5			> 10		No
	0.25			>13	0.2	No
H_2O	0.35	0.4	≈ 0	>27		No
	0.18			>9	0.5	No
0 ₂	0.10	0.5	1.5	>32	>40	Yes
	0.05	0.4	2	>29	>16	Yes
	0.02	0.9	10	>35	>14	Yes
CO_2	5	0.4	35	>40	>27	Yes
$O_2 + H_2$	0	0.4	40	10	3	Partly
(0.05+0.3	35)					

Adam Boyarski, SLAC

Temporary 500–1000 ppm O_2 running appears to "cure" discharge problem. Current limit restored to initial level.

PEP-II Luminosity Upgrades

$[10^{33}$ Cr	$n^{-2} s^{-1}$]	[mA]	[mA]	[µA]	[raw/rec]
Year	${\cal L}$	$I(e^{-})$	$I(e^+)$	DCH HV	Hits/ev
2003	6.3	1140	1450	532	456/ 332
2004	12.1	1600	2700	973	686/483
2005	18.2	1800	3600	1380	898/ 621
2006	23.0	2000	3600	1665	1046/719
2007	33.0	2200	4500	2284	1368/ 930
2008	33.0	2200	4500	2284	1368/ 930

J. Seeman/PEP-II, SLAC

DCH High Lumi 🗕

Tracking at $4 \times 10^{34} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$



D* mass