Vertexing Degradation

Takanori Hara(Osaka U.)

2005/Apr/21 @Hawaii

Near Future



~x3 BG study is needed

What will happen?



Performance Prediction

Procedure

 $B \rightarrow J/\Psi Ks$, $B \rightarrow generic MC event$ (Detector Simulation) Random-trigger real Background event (as of 2004) **Nominal BG x3 BG** ➤ Tracking/Vertexing **Performance Check Some Assumptions** PID is perfect, Lepton/Kaon tagging only, **Current Hardware/Software**

Vertex Resolution



Reconstruction Efficiency



Most Responsible Part?

 $B \rightarrow J/\Psi Ks, B \rightarrow generic : 10,000 events$ (σ = single gaussian)

	1st : Nominal	1,2 : Nominal	1st : x3BG	
	2,3,4 : x3BG	3,4 : x3BG	2,3,4 : Nominal	
$\Delta \mathrm{V}$ cp	∼ <mark>36µm</mark>	∼ <mark>36µm</mark>	∼44µm	
	3321 events	3382 events	3381 events	
$\Delta { m V}$ tag	∼ <mark>66µm</mark>	<mark>∼66µm</mark>	∼72µm	
	1531 events	1558 events	1568 events	
$\Delta { m V}$ dif	~89µm	~85µm	~99µm	
resolution is not so different from nominal BG case				

SVD Cluster Classification







TAG side











Points so far

Causes of the vtx-resolution degradation High Momentum (Distorted cluster) Tracking (upto 2nd lyr) is fine Distorted cluster in 1st lyr worsens trk-quality Low Momentum (Background cluster) Poor seed track in CDC Low Momentum (Fake cluster) Poor seed track in CDC (~a half) Fine tracking (upto 2nd lyr) +Bad cluster in 1st lyr.

How to Recover ... Current Attempt



 $||E_z| - |E_{r\phi}|| > 15,000 \text{ el } Cut$

Not used for tracking in SVD (for 1st, 2nd layer)

```
Quality of track
```

w/o Bad clst > w/ Bad clst (esp. 1st lyr) / (esp. 1st lyr)

```
Better vtx resol.
```

Recovered Vtx Resolution

 $B \rightarrow J/\Psi Ks, B \rightarrow generic : 10,000 \text{ events}$ ($\sigma = single$

	, U	•	
	Nominal BG	x3 BG Bet	x3 BG w/ ene. balance
$\Delta \mathrm{V}$ cp	~36µm 4197 events	~44µm 3115 events	∼ <mark>42</mark> µm 3120 events
$\Delta { m V}$ tag	~67µm 2038 events	~79µm 1436 events	∼75µm 1423 events
$\Delta { m V}$ dif	~86µm	~104µm	~96µm

Other Attempts...

For High-momentum tracks

To avoid using Distorted clsuter in the 1st layer

Is it possible to optimize clustering? or cluster selection ?



cluster width, clustering thresold, incident angle of particle, layer correlation of charge



Other Attempts...

For Low-momentum tracks

To get more reliable low-momentum tracks

SVD self-track finding is difficult ...



Possible Hardware Updates

Optimizing shaping time of readout chip in SVD (Background $\downarrow \sim 30\%$ @max) Or replace the readout chip on the 1st layer VA1TA \rightarrow APV25 (shaping time 800nsec $\rightarrow \sim 50$ nsec) Pixel-type detector can reduce Distorted/Fake cluster Replace the readout electronics in CDC Deadtime can be reduced (2.2 $\mu \rightarrow 0.8\mu$ sec) :

Key point is to reduce room for BG to sneak in

Summary

Vertex Resolution deteriorates by ~20% under x3 BG

Causes of deterioration

for High mom. track

Track finding/quality (upto 2nd lyr) seems to be OK Distorted cluster in 1st lyr makes track quality worse for Low mom. track

Track seed itself in CDC is poor

SVD true cluster can not be used correctly

Way of Recovery

Energy balance cut → Better vertex resolution But many things to do (low-pt tracking, better clustering, hardware...)





Recovered Vtx Resolution

B→ $\pi^+\pi^-$, B→generic : 10,000 events (σ = single gaussian)

	Nominal BG	x3 BG	x3 BG w/ ene. balance
$\Delta \mathrm{V}$ cp	~26µm	~32µm	∼ <mark>30</mark> µm
	7023 events	5775 events	5867 events
$\Delta { m V}$ tag	~59µm	~72µm	∼71µm
	3356 events	2725 events	2754 events
$\Delta { m V}$ dif	~71µm	~97µm	~ <mark>88</mark> µm

Better Efficiency ?! Better Vtx Resol.