Dear Michael

I am sorry to learn that the programme has not yet been sent to you through ARPA. It has been tried several times. On the first try they did log in and the system sent them back a message that this had cost them $30. They sent a message through addressed to LEVY, asking him to contact them. They have had no reply. They have tried several times later but found that the LEVY computer was down. Then the man doing the job here went on vacation and I came back. The Rutherford Laboratory are anxious to contact LEVY before going ahead to send the programme through. I do not really see why, but they say something to the effect that the programme could lie undetected in the system for months and then would be very expensive, unless somebody at your end acknowledges that the programme is there and does something about it. I cannot tell whether they are right in what they say. They have tried again today to get through, without success, I am told. They tell me that they are only permitted to use the link with ARPA before 1300 time here, which means the night shift at Rutgers, and there is some question whether there may be no operator there during working hours here (which start at 0830 local time).

With this situation, I have now asked them how you could go about reaching the RL computer from LEVY's computer. They say that the message should be addressed to

![ATTN:RHEL](UK@1ST)

The first line of the message should read

On the next line of the message you should mention the name Thompson. This will give the computer operators the name of the computer support man who is dealing with this matter and to whom the message should be delivered. The message should tell him whatever are the facts of the situation concerning this question of payment (which appears to me to be a rather minor one and the payment could be made at either end, I imagine), and what he should do to get the message through to you. Probably it is only a question of acknowledgment from your end, because he wishes to be sure that the message is going to its destination with some knowledge at the other end that it has been received.

Could you please have a try at this from your end? I have asked Paul Thompson to try again from this end. I much regret this delay. At this end we have done no more work on this problem since, as my last letter indicated, we feel that we now have the correct minimum and understand what was wrong previously.

Best greetings,

Yours sincerely,

R. H. Dalitz